

9937 Jefferson Blvd., Suite 200 Culver City, CA 90232 Toll Free: (888) 705-6300 Tel: (310) 854-6300 Fax: (310) 854-0199

Limited Asbestos & Lead Assessment Report

Presented To:

City of Santa Monica 1437 4th Street, Suite 300 Santa Monica, CA 90401

Assessment Location:

2200 Virginia Avenue Santa Monica, CA 90401

Andersen Environmental Project No. 1205-531

June 4, 2012

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>DES</u>	<u>CRIPTIO</u>	<u>N</u>	PAGE NO.
1.0	INTRO	DUCTION	3
2.0	SCOPE	OF WORK	3
3.0	PROPE	RTY DESCRIPTION	3
4.0	INSPEC	TOR'S QUALIFICATIONS	4
5.0	TESTIN	G PROTOCOL	4
6.0	METH(DD OF TESTING	5
7.0	SUMMA	ARY OF RESULTS	6
8.0		IMENDATIONS	
9.0		TION LIMITATIONS	
APP	<u>ENDICES</u>		
APPE	ENDIX A	LEAD-BASED PAINT TABLE	
APPE	ENDIX B	INSPECTOR'S CERTIFICATION(S)	
APPE	ENDIX C	8552	
Δ DDE	ENDIX D	I ARORATORY MANIFEST / CHAIN OF CUSTODY	

2200 Virginia Avenue AE Project: 1205-531

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This report presents the results of Andersen Environmental's Limited, Asbestos & Lead Assessment of the south end of the Thelma Terry building located at 2200 Virginia Avenue, Santa Monica, CA (subject property). This document is prepared for the sole use of the client, and any regulatory agencies that are directly involved in this project. No other party should rely on the information contained herein without prior written consent of the client. The scope of services, inspection methodology, and results are presented below.

As reported by the client to Andersen Environmental, the subject portion of the property is anticipated to be demolished.

The purpose of this inspection and survey is to identify the Lead-Based Paint (LBP) and/or Asbestos Containing Materials (ACM) present at the south end of the subject property prior to demolition. Areas to be sampled were pointed out by Tom Afschar of the City of Santa Monica.

2.0 SCOPE OF WORK

Asbestos

The purpose of this assessment was to conduct bulk sampling in order to determine the presence or absence of ACM at the subject property. The scope of this assessment included reviewing any provided building records and/or previous investigation records, visually identifying homogeneous areas and functional spaces, collecting bulk samples of suspect ACM, interpreting the laboratory results, producing a written report of our findings, recommendations, floor plans and approximations of ACM quantities.

Lead-Based Paint

The purpose of this assessment was to perform an inspection for lead-based paint at the subject property. To comply with Title 17, EPA and HUD guidelines, painted and varnished surfaces in every accessible "room equivalent" were sampled for the presence of LBP and the condition of the painted surfaces was assessed. The intent was to ascertain the presence of lead-based paint above the federal action level using X-Ray Fluorescence (XRF). If LBP was found, the inspection would identify individual architectural components and their respective concentrations of lead in such a manner that this report would be used to characterize the presence of LBP at this property. The scope of work also included producing a written report of our findings, recommendations, floor plans and approximations of LBP quantities.

3.0 PROPERTY DESCRIPTION

The subject property is a single story school building. The building construction consists of drywall walls, 2' x 4' ceiling tiles, linoleum tiled classroom floors and ceramic tiled floors and ceilings in the bathrooms.

The exterior of the property consists of a stucco exterior finish and rolled roofing.

2200 Virginia Avenue AE Project: 1205-531

4.0 INSPECTOR'S QUALIFICATIONS

Andersen Environmental performed the lead inspection at the site using an Innov-X XRF spectrum analyzer instrument. Adam Nelson has completed an EPA approved curriculum in Lead in Construction Inspector / Risk Assessor Training. Personnel certificate(s) have been provided in *Appendix B*.

Adam Nelson of Andersen Environmental performed the asbestos inspection at the site. Adam Nelson is certified by the State of California Division of Occupational Safety and Health as a Certified Asbestos Consultant. Personnel certificate(s) have been provided in *Appendix B*.

5.0 TESTING PROTOCOL

Asbestos

The sampling was performed in accordance with requirements of the following regulations:

- Asbestos Hazard Emergency Response Act (AHERA); 40 CFR 763 Subpart E
- Asbestos School Hazard Abatement Reauthorization Act (ASHARA); Section 206 of the Toxic Substance Control Act
- National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAPS); 40 CFR 61 Subpart M.

This report is a record of activities, observations, analytical results and recommendations performed to date

Lead-Based Paint

The sampling was performed in accordance with requirements of the following regulations:

- Chapter 7 of the <u>HUD Guidelines for the Evaluation and Control of Lead-Based Paint Hazards in Housing</u>ⁱ.
- Title 17, California Code of Regulations
- EPA Lead Based Paint Program

XRF Testing: Testing of the painted surfaces was patterned after the inspection protocol in Chapter 7 of the <u>HUD Guidelines for the Evaluation and Control of Lead-Based Paint Hazards in Housingⁱⁱ. In every "room equivalent" within the tested property, one representative surface of each "testing combination" was tested. Multiple readings were collected to resolve inconsistencies in the test results.</u>

Regulatory Compliance: Several public (government) agencies have a published "regulatory action level" to classify LBP. To further complicate matters, some of the established "levels" are quantified in different units of measurement. Listed below are the current regulatory agencies that have defined LBP, along with the respective action level:

<u>Agency</u>	Ordinance #	Action level (mg / cm ²)	Action level
(ppm ⁱⁱⁱ)			
HUD / EPA	24 CFR 35.86 & 40 CFR 745.103	$1.0 \text{ mg} / \text{cm}^2$	5,000 ppm
L.A. County	Title 11, 11.28.010	$0.7 \text{ mg} / \text{cm}^2$	600 ppm ^{iv}
OSHA / CAL OSHA	29 CFR 1926.62 & Title 8, 1532.1	Not Specified	600 ppm ^v



2200 Virginia Avenue AE Project: 1205-531

HUD / EPA have recently issued the following guidance regarding units of measurement for paint samples:

"Report lead paint amounts in mg/cm² because this unit of measurement does not depend on the number of layers of non-lead-based paint and can usually be obtained without damaging the painted surface. All measurements of lead in paint should be in mg/cm², unless the surface area cannot be measured or if all paint cannot be removed from the measured surface area. In such cases, concentrations may be reported in weight percent (%) or parts per million by weight (ppm)."

Furthermore, EPA has previously issued guidance on lead content classification as follows:

"... The rule, at 24 CFR 35.86 and 40 CFR 745.103 states that a lead-based paint free finding must demonstrate that the building is free of 'paint or other surface coatings that contain lead in excess of 1.0 milligrams per square centimeter $(1.0 \text{ mg}/\text{cm}^2)$ or 0.5 percent by weight (5000 ppm)." The State standards are not applicable, whether more or less stringent, since a State cannot amend Federal requirements."

In recognition of the various action levels the testing results are classified as follows for this report:

- Painted surfaces with readings at or above 0.7 mg/cm² are considered Positive
- Painted surfaces with readings below 0.7 mg/cm² are considered Negative

The individual readings have been provided on all field data sheets. Any future change in action levels by one of the regulating agencies may affect the classification of results.

For purposes of this survey, any material containing any detectable level of lead is subject to OSHA's Lead Exposure in Construction Rule (29 CFR Part 1926). Any work that disturbs these materials must be performed in accordance with these and any other applicable standards.

6.0 METHOD OF TESTING

Asbestos

All samples were collected using a clean knife, chisel or the appropriate tools. The sample location was first moistened with water in order to limit dust release. Each sample was extracted carefully so as not to disturb adjacent materials while still penetrating through all layers of the material sampled. Each sample was sealed in the appropriate sized plastic zip lock bag and the bag then labeled with a unique identification number. The sample number, description and location was then recorded on a log and plotted on a floor plan of the structure or area. Sampling tools were cleaned after collecting each sample. Any excess dust or debris from the sample location was cleaned using a moistened cloth. Whenever possible, samples were collected from previously damaged portions of the material in order to minimize damage to the material.

A total of eighteen (18) samples were submitted to LA Testing in South Pasadena, California. LA Testing is accredited under the NIST/NVLAP program for asbestos in bulk material by polarized light microscopy and the State of California for asbestos analysis. NIST/NVLAP lab code 200232-0.

The analyses of the samples in this report were performed using polarized light microscopy using the EPA method 600/R-93/116. The phase abundances provided are visually estimated and expressed as percent area. Total percentage of sample constituents may total greater than 100 due to trace amounts. The limit of detection for this analytical method is less than one percent. In multilayer samples, unless otherwise specified, the asbestos concentration is reported for the layer where asbestos is found. These results lie within the statistical limits of variability calculated for standard reference samples routinely

2200 Virginia Avenue AE Project: 1205-531

analyzed in the laboratory. On a per sample basis, the accuracy and precision of the results depend on the type of sample and its asbestos content.

Lead-Based Paint

<u>Paint Testing:</u> The method employed was X-ray fluorescence (XRF) using a Radiation Monitoring Device Lead Paint Analyzer (Innov-X). The instrument was operated in "Quick Mode," where the duration for each test result is determined by a combination of:

- The actual reading relative to the designated action level;
- The age of the radioactive source; and
- The substrate on which the test was taken.

The instrument's calibration was verified according to the manufacturer's specifications in compliance with the Performance Characteristic Sheet (PCS) developed for this instrument.

The readings from this instrument produce a 95% confidence level that the "lead" reading accurately reflects the actual level of lead in the tested surfaces, relative to the federal action level.

7.0 SUMMARY OF RESULTS

Asbestos

None of the suspect asbestos containing building materials along the south elevation of the Thelma Terry building were found to contain asbestos.

Lead-Based Paint

<u>Paint Sampling:</u> One component at the south end of the subject property indicated the presence of lead-based paint (LBP) or varnish at or above the action level. The following summary lists the specific component that tested above the action level and its respective location:

Interior

• Wall tile (southeast classroom closet)

Sampling for this inspection was representative and any components that were not tested but similar to those components that tested positive for LBP should be considered and treated as lead laden.

8.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

Given the clients anticipated addition to the south end of the building, AE recommends the following:

Lead-Based Paint

The wall tiles in the southeast classroom closet of the subject property were determined to contain lead concentrations above the regulated amount. The tile was found to be in intact (good) condition.

LBP components in good condition may remain in place subsequent to renovation/demolition or they may be removed intact by lead trained personnel in accordance with all applicable federal, state and local



2200 Virginia Avenue AE Project: 1205-531

regulations. Additionally, the removal of material containing any detectable level of lead is subject to OSHA's Lead Exposure in Construction Rule (29 CFR part 1926) and Title 8, Section 1542.1 of the California Code of Regulations. For LBP on large and/or tough to access materials, such as the metal support columns, bracing, girders and roof decking, demolition activities may take place prior to abatement, in an effort to move those materials into a location where the LBP may safely be removed.

Should the contractor choose not to remove the lead-based paint materials and demolish the south end of the structure in its entirety with the lead-paint components in place, it is recommended that samples, representative of the entire mass of the prospective waste stream, be collected by Andersen Environmental as a third party verification. These samples should then be analyzed according to the CAL EPA protocols for waste characterization as follows:

To characterize all waste streams, the following should be performed:

- Collect a representative sample of the waste material.
- For a pile of waste take one sample of a proportionate combination of Component in the pile. If a large quantity of waste is generated no less than four samples may be required.

Analysis for the waste characterization samples shall be performed as follows:

- Waste generated by chemical stripping shall, in addition to the requirements for determining the solid and soluble lead concentrations, shall be tested for corrosiveness and other contaminants, as applicable, resulting from the chemical stripping process.
- Analyze samples for Total Threshold Limit Concentration (TTLC)
 - o If results are less than 50mg/kg, the waste is not hazardous and shall be disposed as general construction waste
 - o If sample results are between 50 and 1,000 mg/kg, the waste shall be tested for Soluble Threshold Limit Concentration (STLC)
 - o If the sample results are above 1,000 mg/kg the waste is considered California Regulated hazardous Waste, and no further testing is needed

Where waste is required to be tested for STLC, the following shall apply:

- If the STLC results are less than 5 mg/L, and had a TTLC of less than 350 mg/kg, the material shall be disposed at a Class II waste landfill. Evidence of such results of the STLC testing will be required by the landfill before waste is accepted. No further testing is required.
- If the STLC results are 5 mg/L or greater, or had a TTLC between 350 mg/kg and 1,000 mg/kg, the waste is a California regulated waste and the material shall be tested using the federally mandated Toxicity Characterization Leaching Procedure (TCLP).

Where waste is required to be tested by TCLP the following shall apply:

- If the TCLP is less than 5 mg/L, the waste is California regulated hazardous solid waste (non-RCRA). This material may be disposed as Non-RCRA Waste. However, it must be wrapped in plastic, profiled and a waste manifest is required.
- If the TCLP is equal to or greater than 5 mg/L, the waste is a federally regulated hazardous waste solid (RCRA). The waste shall then require treatment before being disposed in a Class I hazardous waste landfill.



2200 Virginia Avenue AE Project: 1205-531

9.0 HAZARDOUS WASTE HANDLING & DISPOSAL

Andersen Environmental recommends that the client utilize a hazardous materials disposal company that meets the following criteria:

- The company is certified for the removal of lead.
- The company has an approved transportation license to transport the generated hazardous waste.

10.0 INSPECTION LIMITATIONS

This inspection/risk assessment was planned, developed, and implemented based on Andersen Environmental's previous experience in performing lead-based paint inspections/risk assessments. This inspection was patterned after Chapter 7 of the *HUD Guidelines for the Evaluation and Control of Lead-Based Paint Hazards in Housing (1997 Revision)*. Andersen Environmental utilized state-of-the-art-practices and techniques in accordance with regulatory standards while performing this inspection/risk assessment. Andersen Environmental's evaluation of the relative risk of exposure to lead identified during this inspection/risk assessment is based on conditions observed at the time of the inspection. Andersen Environmental cannot be responsible for changing conditions that may alter the relative exposure risk or for future changes in accepted methodology. Andersen Environmental uses only qualified personnel to perform building surveys. Reasonable effort was made to survey accessible suspect materials. Additional suspect materials may be located between walls, in voids, or in other inaccessible areas; caution should be exercised regarding these areas.

Andersen Environmental cannot warrant that these buildings do not contain LBP in locations other than those identified in this report.

Enclosed are the diagram(s), actual test results, and all relevant certifications and licenses.

Survey and Report by:

Adam Nelson

DOSH Certified Asbestos Consultant No. 09-4482 CDPH Certified Lead Inspector/Assessor No. 18298

i 1997 Revision

ii 1997 Revision

iii Parts per million

iv Applies to sale and application of LBP.

^v Applies to construction related activities

Chapter 7 of the HUD Guidelines for the Evaluation and Control of Lead-Based Paint Hazards in Housing (1997 Revision).

vii Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics, (August 20, 1996)

Date	Reading	Mode	Pass Fail Standard	Pb	Pb	+/-
16-May-12	1	Standardization	PASS			
16-May-12	2	Lead Paint Inspection	Negative		0	0
16-May-12	3	Lead Paint Inspection	Positive	1.	01	0.07
16-May-12	4	Lead Paint Inspection	Negative		0	0
16-May-12	5	Lead Paint Inspection	Negative		0	0
16-May-12	6	Lead Paint Inspection	Positive		5	0.64
16-May-12	7	Lead Paint Inspection	Negative		0	0
16-May-12	8	Lead Paint Inspection	Negative		0	0
16-May-12	9	Lead Paint Inspection	Negative		0	0
16-May-12	10	Lead Paint Inspection	Negative		0	0
16-May-12	11	Lead Paint Inspection	Negative		0	0
16-May-12	12	Lead Paint Inspection	Negative		0	0
16-May-12	13	Lead Paint Inspection	Negative		0	0
16-May-12	14	Lead Paint Inspection	Negative		0	0
16-May-12	15	Lead Paint Inspection	Negative	0.	02	0.04
16-May-12	16	Lead Paint Inspection	Negative		0	0
16-May-12	17	Lead Paint Inspection	Negative		0	0
16-May-12	18	Lead Paint Inspection	Negative		0	0.01
16-May-12	19	Lead Paint Inspection	Negative		0	0
16-May-12	20	Lead Paint Inspection	Negative		0	0
16-May-12	21	Lead Paint Inspection	Negative		0	0
16-May-12	22	Lead Paint Inspection	Negative		0	0
16-May-12	23	Lead Paint Inspection	Negative		0	0
16-May-12	24	Lead Paint Inspection	Negative		0	0
16-May-12	25	Lead Paint Inspection	Negative		0	0
16-May-12	26	Lead Paint Inspection	Negative		0	0
16-May-12	27	Lead Paint Inspection	Negative		0	0
16-May-12	28	Lead Paint Inspection	Negative		0	0
16-May-12	29	Lead Paint Inspection	Negative		0	0



....

State of California
Division of Occupational Safety and Health
Certified Asbestos Consultant

Adam A. Nelson



Certification No. 09-4482 Expires on 07/23/12

This certification was issued by the Division of Occupational Safety and Health as authorized by Sections 7180 et seq. of the Business and Professions Code.

LEAD HAZARD EVALUATION REPORT

City of Santa Monica Address [number, street, apartment (if applicable)] 1437 4th Street, Suite 300 Section 5 — Results of Lead Hazard Evaluation (check all that apply) No lead-based paint detected Intact lead-based paint detected Deteriorated lead-based paint detected No lead hazards detected Lead-contaminated dust found Lead-contaminated soil found Other Intact lie with Section 6 — Individual Conducting Lead Hazard Evaluation Name Address [number, street, apartment (if applicable)] 9937 Jefferson Blvd., 200 Culver City State CA Zip Code CA 2ip Code CA 2					
Lead Inspection	Section 1 — Date of Lead Hazard Ev	aluation 5-16-12			
Lead Inspection Risk assessment Clearance Inspection V Other (specify) Limited Lead sampling Section 3 — Structure Where Lead Hazard Evaluation Was Conducted Address [number, street, apartment (if applicable)] 2200 Virginia Avenue Construction date (year) of structure Multi-unit building Single family dwelling Other City of Santa Monica Address [number, street, apartment (if applicable)] Section 5 — Results of Lead Hazard Evaluation (check all that apply) No lead-based paint detected No lead hazards detected Intact lead-based paint detected No lead hazards detected Lead-contaminated dust found Lead-contaminated soil found V Other Intact lie with Section 6 — Individual Conducting Lead Hazard Evaluation Name Address [number, street, apartment (if applicable)] City State Deteriorated lead-based paint detected No lead hazards detected Lead-contaminated dust found Lead-contaminated soil found V Other Intact lie with Section 6 — Individual Conducting Lead Hazard Evaluation Name Address [number, street, apartment (if applicable)] City State Telephone number (310) 854-6300 Address [number, street, apartment (if applicable)] City State Telephone number Telephone number (310) 854-6300 Address [number, street, apartment (if applicable)] City State Zip Code CA 90232 CDPH certification number Signature Signature Date 6-7-12 Name and CDPH certification number of any other individuals conducting sampling or testing (if applicable)	Section 2 — Type of Lead Hazard Ev	aluation (Check c	one box only)		
Section 3 — Structure Where Lead Hazard Evaluation Was Conducted Address [numbor, street, apartment (if applicable)] City Santa Monica LA 90401 Construction date (year) of structure of structure of structure of structure Multi-unit building	r			Other (specify) Limited	Lead sampling
Address [number, street, apartment (if applicable)] 2200 Virginia Avenue Construction date (year) of structure (if business/agency, list contact person) Name City of Santa Monica Address [number, street, apartment (if applicable)] No lead-based paint detected Intact lead-based paint detected Deteriorated lead-based paint detected No lead hazards detected Lead-contaminated dust found Lead-contaminated soil found Other Intact lead-based paint detected No lead hazards detected Lead-contaminated dust found Section 6 - Individual Conducting Lead Hazard Evaluation Name Address [number, street, apartment (if applicable)] No lead-based paint detected Intact lead-based paint detected Deteriorated lead-based paint detected No lead-based paint detected Deteriorated lead-based paint detected No lead-based paint detected Deteriorated lead-based paint detected No lead-based paint detected Deteriorated lead-based paint detected Deteriorated lead-based paint detected Section 6 - Individual Conducting Lead Hazard Evaluation Name Address [number, street, apartment (if applicable)] Other Intact lead-based paint (if applicable)] City Calver City CA 90232 Date 6-7-12 Signature Date 6-7-12 Section 7 - Attachments A foundation diagram or sketch of the structure indicating the specific locations of each lead hazard or presence of lead-based paint; 5-Each testing method, device, and sampling properture used.					1 0
2200 Virginia Avenue Construction date (year) of structure of structure of structure of structure of structure Multi-unit building School or daycare Don't Know Section 4 — Owner of Structure (if business/agency, list contact person) Name City of Santa Monica Conduction Conduction (field policiable) No lead-based paint detected Intact lead-based paint detected Deteriorated lead-based paint detected Intact lea			Was Conducted		
Construction date (year) of structure of str	Address [number, street, apartment (if appli	cable)]	City	County	Zip Code
Construction date (year) of structure of str	2200 Virginia Avenue		Santa Monica	LA	90401
Multi-unit building	Construction date (year) Type of s	tructure		Children living in stru	
Single family dwelling Other Don't Know Section 4 — Owner of Structure (if business/agency, list contact person) Name City of Santa Monica Address [number, street, apartment (if applicable)] No lead-based paint detected Intact lead-based paint detected Deteriorated lead-based paint detected Intact lead-contaminated dust found Lead-contaminated soil found Other Intact lie with Section 6 — Individual Conducting Lead Hazard Evaluation Name Addam Nelson Address [number, street, apartment (if applicable)] Other Intact lie with Section 6 — Individual Conducting Lead Hazard Evaluation Name Address [number, street, apartment (if applicable)] Other Intact lie with Section 6 — Individual Conducting Lead Hazard Evaluation Name Address [number, street, apartment (if applicable)] Other Intact lie with Section 6 — Individual Conducting Lead Hazard Evaluation Name Address [number, street, apartment (if applicable)] Other Intact lie with Section 6 — Individual Conducting Lead Hazard Evaluation Section 7 — Individual Conducting Lead Hazard Evaluation Section 7 — Attachments A foundation diagram or sketch of the structure indicating the specific locations of each lead hazard or presence of lead-based paint; Beach testing method, device, and sampling procedure used.		ti-unit building	School or daycare		
City of Santa Monica Address [number, street, apartment (if applicable)] Address [number, street, apartment (if applicable)] Address [number, street, apartment (if applicable)] City Santa Monica CA 90401 Section 5 — Results of Lead Hazard Evaluation (check all that apply) No lead-based paint detected Intact lead-based paint detected No lead hazards detected Lead-contaminated dust found Lead-contaminated soil found Other Intact lied with section 6 — Individual Conducting Lead Hazard Evaluation Adam Nelson Address [number, street, apartment (if applicable)] City Cally State CA Other Intact lied with section 6 — Call of the structure individuals conducting sampling or testing (if applicable) Date 6-7-12 Address [number, street, apartment of any other individuals conducting sampling or testing (if applicable) Date 6-7-12 Address [number, street, apartment of any other individuals conducting sampling or testing (if applicable) Date 6-7-12	Sin	gle family dwelling		1	NO
City of Santa Monica Address [number, street, apartment (if applicable)] 1437 4th Street, Suite 300 Section 5 — Results of Lead Hazard Evaluation (check all that apply) No lead-based paint detected Intact lead-based paint detected Deteriorated lead-based paint detected No lead hazards detected Lead-contaminated dust found Lead-contaminated soil found Other Intact lie with Section 6 — Individual Conducting Lead Hazard Evaluation Name Addam Nelson Address [number, street, apartment (if applicable)] Output City State Zip Code CA 90232 Culver City CA 90232 Culver City CA 90232 Date 6-7-12 Jame and CDPH certification number of any other individuals conducting sampling or testing (if applicable) Section 7 — Attachments A foundation diagram or sketch of the structure indicating the specific locations of each lead hazard or presence of lead-based paint; Beach testing method, device, and sampling procedure used.	Section 4 — Owner of Structure (if b	usiness/agency, f	ist contact person)		
Address [number, street, apartment (if applicable)] 1437 4th Street, Suite 300 Section 5 — Results of Lead Hazard Evaluation (check all that apply) No lead-based paint detected Intact lead-based paint detected Deteriorated lead-based paint detected No lead hazards detected Lead-contaminated dust found Lead-contaminated soil found Other Intact tile with Section 6 — Individual Conducting Lead Hazard Evaluation Name Address [number, street, apartment (if applicable)] Address [number, street, apartment (if applicable)] City State Zip Code 90232 Culver City CA 90232 Date 18298 Name and CDPH certification number of any other individuals conducting sampling or testing (if applicable) Section 7 — Attachments A. A foundation diagram or sketch of the structure indicating the specific locations of each lead hazard or presence of lead-based paint; B. Each testing method, device, and sampling procedure used.	Name			Telephone number	
Address [number, street, apartment (if applicable)] 1437 4th Street, Suite 300 Section 5 — Results of Lead Hazard Evaluation (check all that apply) No lead-based paint detected Intact lead-based paint detected Deteriorated lead-based paint detected Intact lead-based paint detected Intact lead-contaminated dust found Lead-contaminated soil found Other Intact tile with Section 6 — Individual Conducting Lead Hazard Evaluation Name Address [number, street, apartment (if applicable)] Address [number, street, apartment (if applicable)] City State Zip Code 22 Culver City CA 23 O232 CDPH certification number Signature Date 18298 Name and CDPH certification number of any other individuals conducting sampling or testing (if applicable) Section 7 — Attachments A. A foundation diagram or sketch of the structure indicating the specific locations of each lead hazard or presence of lead-based paint; B. Each testing method, device, and sampling procedure used.	City of Santa Monica				
Section 5 — Results of Lead Hazard Evaluation (check all that apply) No lead-based paint detected Intact lead-based paint detected Deteriorated lead-based paint detected No lead hazards detected Lead-contaminated dust found Lead-contaminated soil found Other Intact tile with Section 6 — Individual Conducting Lead Hazard Evaluation Name Addam Nelson Address [number, street, apartment (if applicable)] Output City Cally State Cally Other Intact tile with the state of the structure indicating the specific locations of each lead hazard or presence of lead-based paint; A foundation diagram or sketch of the structure indicating the specific locations of each lead hazard or presence of lead-based paint; Back the structure indicating procedure used.	Address [number, street, apartment (if appli	pable)]	City	1	Zin Codo
Section 5 — Results of Lead Hazard Evaluation (check all that apply) No lead-based paint detected Intact lead-based paint detected Deteriorated lead-based paint detected No lead hazards detected Lead-contaminated dust found Lead-contaminated soil found Other Intact tile with Section 6 — Individual Conducting Lead Hazard Evaluation Name Addam Nelson Address [number, street, apartment (if applicable)] Output City Ca Deteriorated lead-based paint detected Deteriorated lead-based paint detected Deteriorated lead-based paint detected Deteriorated lead-based paint; Signature Date 6-7-12 Section 7 — Attachments A. A foundation diagram or sketch of the structure indicating the specific locations of each lead hazard or presence of lead-based paint; Beach testing method, device, and sampling procedure used.	1437 4th Street, Suite 300		Santa Monica		
No lead-based paint detected	Section 5 — Populto of Lead to	Free least 1		O/ C	30401
Culver City CA 90232 Date 6-7-12 Jame and CDPH certification number of any other individuals conducting sampling or testing (if applicable) Election 7 — Attachments A foundation diagram or sketch of the structure indicating the specific locations of each lead hazard or presence of lead-based paint; Each testing method, device, and sampling procedure used.	Adam Nelson	able)]	Ou	(310) 854-6300	
Date 18298 Signature Date 6-7-12 Section 7 — Attachments A. A foundation diagram or sketch of the structure indicating the specific locations of each lead hazard or presence of lead-based paint; B. Each testing method, device, and sampling procedure used.		abie)j			
Isame and CDPH certification number of any other individuals conducting sampling or testing (if applicable) Section 7 — Attachments A foundation diagram or sketch of the structure indicating the specific locations of each lead hazard or presence of lead-based paint; B. Each testing method, device, and sampling procedure used.				CA	90232
Name and CDPH certification number of any other individuals conducting sampling or testing (if applicable) Section 7 — Attachments A. A foundation diagram or sketch of the structure indicating the specific locations of each lead hazard or presence of lead-based paint; B. Each testing method, device, and sampling procedure used.		Sign			Date
Section 7 — Attachments A. A foundation diagram or sketch of the structure indicating the specific locations of each lead hazard or presence of lead-based paint; B. Each testing method, device, and sampling procedure used.				2	6-7-12
A. A foundation diagram or sketch of the structure indicating the specifc locations of each lead hazard or presence of lead-based paint; B. Each testing method, device, and sampling procedure used.	lame and CDPH certification number of any	other individuals con	nducting sampling or testing	(if applicable)	
B. Each testing method, device, and sampling procedure used	Section 7 — Attachments				
First copy and attachments retained by inspector Third copy only (no attachments) mailed or faxed to: California Department of Public Health Childhood Lead Poisoning Prevention Branch Reports	3. Each testing method, device, and sar C. All data collected, including quality co	mpling procedure untrol data, laborato	used; ory results, including labo Third copy only (no a	oratory name, address, a	and phone number.



LA Testing

520 Mission Street, South Pasadena, CA 91030

Phone/Fax: (323) 254-9960 / (323) 254-9982

http://www.latesting.com

pasadenalab@latesting.com

LA Testing Order: 321208275 CustomerID: 32ANDE85

CustomerPO: ProjectID:

Adam Nelson
Andersen Environmental
9937 Jefferson Blvd. Suite 200
Culver City, CA 90232

Phone: Fax: (310) 854-6300

Received:

05/16/12 1:20 PM

Analysis Date:

5/21/2012

Collected:

5/16/2012

Project: 1205-531/ 2200 Virginia Ave

Test Report: Asbestos Analysis of Bulk Materials via EPA 600/R-93/116 and/or EPA 600/M4-82-020 Method(s) using Polarized Light Microscopy

				Non-Ask	<u>estos</u>	<u>Asbestos</u>
Sample	Description	Appearance	%	Fibrous	% Non-Fibrous	% Type
1205-531-01-Joint Compound 321208275-0001	Drywall/Joint compouind, workshop	Beige Non-Fibrous Heterogeneous	No dowall	present for analysis.	100% Non-fibrous (other)	None Detected
1205-531-02-Drywa 321208275-0002	Drywall/Joint compouind, closet	Brown Fibrous Heterogeneous	•	Cellulose	88% Non-fibrous (other)	None Detected
1205-531-02-Joint Compound 321208275-0002A	Drywall/Joint compouind, closet	White Non-Fibrous Heterogeneous			100% Non-fibrous (other)	None Detected
1205-531-03-Drywa 321208275-0003	Drywall/Joint compouind, workshop	Brown/White Fibrous Heterogeneous	10% 2%		88% Non-fibrous (other)	None Detected
1205-531-03-Joint Compound 321208275-0003A	Drywall/Joint compouind, workshop	Beige Non-Fibrous Heterogeneous			100% Non-fibrous (other)	None Detected
1205-531-04-Cove Base 321208275-0004	Basecove + mastic	Gray Non-Fibrous Homogeneous			100% Non-fibrous (other)	None Detected
1205-531-04-Mastic	Basecove + mastic	Cream Non-Fibrous Homogeneous			100% Non-fibrous (other)	None Detected

Analyst(s)

Kieu-anh Pham Duong (14) Olivia Santiago (4)

Jerry Drapala Ph.D, Laboratory Manager or other approved signatory

EMSL maintains liability limited to cost of analysis. This report relates only to the samples reported and may not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval by EMSL. EMSL bears no responsibility for sample collection activities or analytical method limitations. Interpretation and use of test results are the responsibility of the client. This report must not be used by the client to claim product certification, approval, or endorsement by NVLAP, NIST or any agency of the federal government. Non-friable organically bound materials present a problem matrix and therefore EMSL recommends gravimetric reduction prior to analysis. Samples received in good condition unless otherwise noted. Estimated accuracy, precision and uncertainty data available upon request. Unless requested by the client, building materials manufactured with multiple layers (i.e. linoleum, wallboard, etc.) are reported as a single sample. None Detected = <1%

Samples analyzed by LA Testing South Pasadena, CA NVLAP Lab Code 200232-0, CA ELAP 2283

Report Amended: 05/30/2012 13:46:32 Replaces the Inital Report 05/22/2012 11:23:30. Reason Code: Client-Samples Removed



LA Testing

520 Mission Street, South Pasadena, CA 91030

Phone/Fax: (323) 254-9960 / (323) 254-9982

http://www.latesting.com pasadenalab@latesting.com

LA Testing Order: 321208275 CustomerID: 32ANDE85

CustomerPO: ProjectID:

Attn: Adam Nelson
Andersen Environmental
9937 Jefferson Blvd. Suite 200
Culver City, CA 90232

Phone: Fax: (310) 854-6300

Received:

05/16/12 1:20 PM

Analysis Date:

5/21/2012

Collected:

5/16/2012

Project: 1205-531/ 2200 Virginia Ave

Test Report: Asbestos Analysis of Bulk Materials via EPA 600/R-93/116 and/or EPA 600/M4-82-020 Method(s) using Polarized Light Microscopy

				Non-Asb	<u>estos</u>	<u>Asbestos</u>
Sample	Description	Appearance	%	Fibrous	% Non-Fibrous	% Type
1205-531-05-Sheet Flooring	Sheet flooring + mastic	Brown/Gray Fibrous	10%	Cellulose	90% Non-fibrous (other)	None Detected
321208275-0005		Heterogeneous				
1205-531-05- Mastic/Leveling Compound	Sheet flooring + mastic	Gray/Yellow Non-Fibrous	2%	Cellulose	98% Non-fibrous (other)	None Detected
321208275-0005A		Heterogeneous				
1205-531-06	2x4 ceiling tile	White/Beige	40%	Cellulose	10% Non-fibrous (other)	None Detected
321208275-0006		Fibrous Heterogeneous	40%	Min. Wool	10% Perlite	
1205-531-07	Rolled roofing	Gray/Black	5%	Glass	95% Non-fibrous (other)	None Detected
321208275-0007		Non-Fibrous Heterogeneous				
1205-531-08	Stucco	Gray/Yellow			100% Non-fibrous (other)	None Detected
321208275-0008		Non-Fibrous Heterogeneous				
1205-531-09	Stucco	Gray/Yellow			100% Non-fibrous (other)	None Detected
321208275-0009		Non-Fibrous Heterogeneous				
1205-531-10	Stucco	Gray/Yellow			100% Non-fibrous (other)	None Detected
321208275-0010		Non-Fibrous Heterogeneous				

_			
Ar	าลโ	vst	(S)

Kieu-anh Pham Duong (14) Olivia Santiago (4)

Jerry Drapala Ph.D, Laboratory Manager or other approved signatory

EMSL maintains liability limited to cost of analysis. This report relates only to the samples reported and may not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval by EMSL. EMSL bears no responsibility for sample collection activities or analytical method limitations. Interpretation and use of test results are the responsibility of the client. This report must not be used by the client to claim product certification, approval, or endorsement by NVLAP, NIST or any agency of the federal government. Non-friable organically bound materials present a problem matrix and therefore EMSL recommends gravimetric reduction prior to analysis. Samples received in good condition unless otherwise noted. Estimated accuracy, precision and uncertainty data available upon request. Unless requested by the client, building materials manufactured with multiple layers (i.e. linoleum, wallboard, etc.) are reported as a single sample. None Detected = <1%

Samples analyzed by LA Testing South Pasadena, CA NVLAP Lab Code 200232-0, CA ELAP 2283

Report Amended: 05/30/2012 13:46:32 Replaces the Inital Report 05/22/2012 11:23:30. Reason Code: Client-Samples Removed



LA Testing

520 Mission Street, South Pasadena, CA 91030

Phone/Fax: (323) 254-9960 / (323) 254-9982

http://www.latesting.com pasadenalab@latesting.com

LA Testing Order: 321208275 CustomerID: 32ANDE85

CustomerPO: ProjectID:

Attn: Adam Nelson
Andersen Environmental
9937 Jefferson Blvd. Suite 200
Culver City, CA 90232

Phone: Fax: (310) 854-6300

Received:

05/16/12 1:20 PM

Analysis Date:

5/21/2012

Collected:

5/16/2012

Project: 1205-531/ 2200 Virginia Ave

Test Report: Asbestos Analysis of Bulk Materials via EPA 600/R-93/116 and/or EPA 600/M4-82-020 Method(s) using Polarized Light Microscopy

			Non-Asi	<u>bestos</u>	<u>Asbestos</u>
Sample	Description	Appearance	% Fibrous	% Non-Fibrous	% Type
1205-531-11	Exterior wall-	Gray/Yellow		100% Non-fibrous (other)	None Detected
321208275-0011	concrete	Non-Fibrous Heterogeneous			
1205-531-12	Exterior wall-	Gray/Yellow		100% Non-fibrous (other)	None Detected
321208275-0012	concrete	Non-Fibrous Heterogeneous			
1205-531-13	Exterior wall-	Gray/Yellow		100% Non-fibrous (other)	None Detected
321208275-0013	concrete	Non-Fibrous Heterogeneous			
1205-531-14	Penetration mastic	White/Black	10% Cellulose	90% Non-fibrous (other)	None Detected
321208275-0014		Non-Fibrous Heterogeneous			

Analyst(s)

Kieu-anh Pham Duong (14) Olivia Santiago (4)

Jerry Drapala Ph.D, Laboratory Manager or other approved signatory

EMSL maintains liability limited to cost of analysis. This report relates only to the samples reported and may not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval by EMSL. EMSL bears no responsibility for sample collection activities or analytical method limitations. Interpretation and use of test results are the responsibility of the client. This report must not be used by the client to claim product certification, approval, or endorsement by NVLAP, NIST or any agency of the federal government. Non-friable organically bound materials present a problem matrix and therefore EMSL recommends gravimetric reduction prior to analysis. Samples received in good condition unless otherwise noted. Estimated accuracy, precision and uncertainty data available upon request. Unless requested by the client, building materials manufactured with multiple layers (i.e. linoleum, wallboard, etc.) are reported as a single sample. None Detected = <1%

Samples analyzed by LA Testing South Pasadena, CA NVLAP Lab Code 200232-0, CA ELAP 2283

Report Amended: 05/30/2012 13:46:32 Replaces the Inital Report 05/22/2012 11:23:30. Reason Code: Client-Samples Removed

321208275

AH)

Laboratory Chain of Custody

(thr 48hr (72hr)	(Bulk) Tape
Weekend/Holiday	3hr 6hr 12hr 24hr 48hr	Air Swab
Turn Around Time - (Circle)	*Please select based on laboratory being used	Sample Type (Circle Those That Apply)

AE Design No.	Project Name	Sampling By	# of Samples	Date(s) Taken		\vdash	Total Pages
1 205-53)	2200 Virginia Ave.	Ha	91	21/11/2	12) of	7
Sa	Sample Location & Comments		Start Flow Rate End Flow Rate	Total Time	Total Volume/Area	Type of Analysis Sample Serial Number	alysis Number
-	Down Tank concer	Watslop				Serial No.: PLM Stop	Stort
1	2 2 2	Chart .				Serial No.:	7
	<i></i>	(Waterland				Serial No.:	
	Paserow or mesho	*				Serial No.:	
200	Shirt Marie variety	2,				Serial No.:	
2	12.7					Serial No.:	
2						Serial No.:	
+1	Charles Office					Serial No.:	
+	20010					Serial No.:	
elinquished By (Print & Sign Ale Meson elinquished By (Print & Sign	Relinquished By (Print & Sign)(Date & Time) Alc. Meson March Marc	S/14/12 12:000-	Received By (Print & Sign) (Date & Time) Received By (Print & Sign) (Date & Time)	Sign) (Date & Time	ne)	2/10/12	be:1

Andersen Environmental Consulting 9937 Jefferson Blvd, Suite 200 Culver City, CA 90232, Ph (310) 854-6300, Fax (310) 854-0199

321208275

Chain of Custody Laboratory

Tape 3hr 6hr 12hr 24hr 48hr 72hr Bulk Swab 32 1 2 0 8 2 7 5 = Turn Around Time - (Circle) | Weekend/Holiday Air *Please select based on laboratory being used Sample Type (Circle Those That Apply)

A TO BE STORY		Sampling By	# of Samples	Date(s) Taken		Page No.: Total Pages	
AE Project No.:	2200 Wainia Are	the Me	16	19115	7	7 00 7	
Sample No.:	Sam		Start Flow Rate	Total	Total	Type of Analysis Sample Serial Number	
1206-62			End Flow Kate	allill		Am Stract	1
0(-	Stace					Serial No.: 1 Cl. C.	
150	Exterior wall-concerts					Serial No.:	
7	1					Serial No.:	
117	->					Serial No.:	
210	Penetration mastic					Serial No.:	
2						Serial No.:	
\$ 1						Serial No.:	
415	>					Serial No.:	
						Serial No.:	
Relinquished By (F	Relinquished By (Print & Sign)(Date & Time) M. M. S. M. M. S. M. M. M. M. Relinquished By (Print & Sign) (Date & Time)	21912	Received By (Print & Sign) (Date & Time) Received By (Print & Sign) (Date & Time)	Sign) (Date & Tin Sign) (Date & Tin	re) ne)		

I phus keep on NAIR 153 16

Andersen Environmental Consulting 9937 Jefferson Blvd, Suite 200 Culver City, CA 90232, Ph (310) 854-6300, Fax (310) 854-0199